DYSLEXIA EVALUATION REPORT FOR ENGLISH-SPEAKING STUDENTS (NON-ELLS)

Student: <u>Jack</u> Campus: <u>Anywhere in Texas ISD</u>	ID#: 0000001 Date of Assessmen	DOB: <u>12/08/06</u> nt: <u>03/03/15</u>	Gr: 2nd		
REASON FOR REFERRAL: Page 1 of this form <u>must</u> be completed by the referring campus before sendi referral to dyslexia evaluator. Provide or attach educational background data including but not limit to previous screenings, universal screeners, curriculum-based/progress monitoring, information from classroom teacher(s), parent information, and student information. <u>The remainder of the profile is be completed by the dyslexia evaluator.</u>					
SPECIFIC REASON FOR REFERRAL:					

Jack exhibits characteristics of dyslexia. Progress monitoring data for reading intervention as of 12/11/14 indicates Jack has met 78% of the exercises at a course level of 1.18 after a total of 19 sessions.

PREVIOUS SCREENING INFORMATION (Include TPRI, Istation, STAR Early Literacy scores, benchmarks, state assessment results if available, etc.):

This evaluation included data gathered from previous TPRI screening for second grade. Also included was the report from the Learning Disabilities Diagnostic Inventory given on 12/16/14 and 10/17/13. Current progress monitoring data was also included from Lexia from 1/20/15 through February 12, 2015. Additional records indicated Jack was receiving intervention during kindergarten.

PARENT INFORMATION:

Jack's mother completed the parent interview. His mother reported concerns regarding reading comprehension. She also indicated he demonstrates frustration with reading activities at home. Jack likes to draw and color, computers, journal writing, and sports.

TEACHER INFORMATION (Include observational data, writing samples, checklists, etc.):

Jack's current teacher reports difficulty with letter knowledge, unusual difficulty with spelling, unable to read satisfactorily in spite of adequate intelligence and effective classroom instruction. Jack has difficulty with handwriting and difficulty completing written assignments.

THE FOLLOWING FACTORS WERE CONSIDERED AND <u>EXCLUDED</u> AS PRIMARY CONTRIBUTORS TO STUDENT'S <u>WORD READING</u> <u>AND SPELLING</u> <u>DIFFICULTIES</u> (The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014:Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders/pgs. 17, 22, and 69):

- VISION Explain: Jack's distance vision was screened and on 1/13/15. The screening indineed for further evaluation for vision difficulties. The screening notice has been included this evaluation. Information from the parent interview indicated Jack has also been possible vision concerns and correction was prescribed. Jack was diagnosed with 2y eye" and wears corrective lenses. Jack was wearing his glasses during both days of the evaluation.
- ☐ HEARING Explain: Jack's hearing was screened and no concerns were recorted on 12/17/14.

 Information from the parent interview indicated no history of otitis media.
- HEALTH-RELATED CONCERNS (e.g., brain injury, disease, or surgery that interferes with learning) —
 Explain: Information from the parent interview indicated a history of allergies and sinus infections.

 Mother indicated a slow rate of developmental milestones such as delayed language. At age five Jack's mother initiated speech therapy services. She did not indicate whether he continues the services. Jack's mother also indicated he had a slow heart rate during the pregnancy. After birth,

Commented [MR1]: Notations in bubbles throughout evaluation will aid Presenter to facilitate the tie-in between data story and evaluation report.

This information comes from The Story of Jack comments #33, #34, and #35. We want to rule out vision and hearing as primary contributing factors to the reading, spelling, and writing difficulties.

This information comes from comments #21 and #22.

Report Writing and Case Studies - Handout 4c

Jack remained in the hospital for three weeks.
ATTENDANCE (e.g., frequent change of schools or districts, irregular attendance, and/or frequent
tardies, etc.) – Explain: <u>Jack's attendance is good. There is no data indicating concerns.</u>
EXPERIENTIAL BACKGROUND — Explain: <u>Jack has attended school since kindergarten</u> . <u>Jack's mother</u>
indicated she read to him prior to starting school. She also reported that he liked to put puzzles
together prior to starting school.

This information was taken from comments #1, #2, and #3. We want to establish consistent attendance to rule out lack of instruction.

EVALUATION SUMMARY AND PROFILE - TO BE COMPLETED BY DYSLEXIA EVALUATOR

ACADEMIC SKILLS - AREAS FOR ASSESSMENT:

The committee (§504 or ARD) <u>must</u> first determine whether a student's difficulties in the areas of <u>word reading and spelling</u> reflect a pattern of evidence for the primary characteristics of dyslexia with <u>unexpectedly</u> low performance for the student's age and educational level in some or all of the following areas (*The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014: Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pg. 22*):

PRIMARY CHARACTERISTICS OF DYSLEXIA	ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE ¹	BELOW AVERAGE SS	AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
WORD READING — [Reading words in isolation]	WRMT-III	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	65-77	71		
DECODING UNFAMILIAR WORDS ACCURATELY	WRMT-III	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	75-91	83		
SPELLING – [An isolated difficulty in spelling would <u>NOT</u> be sufficient to identify dyslexia.]	TWS-5 WJ-III	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	54-62 72-80	58 76		

LETTER KNOWLEDGE AND LETTER-SOUND CORRESPONDENCE: Informal and/or observational data.

- Can the student name the letters of the alphabet without singing the "alphabet song"?
- How quickly can the student accurately name random letters of the alphabet?
- How accurately can the student identify the corresponding sound of the letter?

 Automaticity of letter knowledge was assessed. It took Jack one minute and twenty-seven seconds to write the

Automaticity of letter knowledge was assessed. It took Jack one minute and twenty-seven seconds to write the letters of the alphabet. He did struggle with some letter formations. Jack was able to name the letters in random order, but does not know some sounds (phonemes) for some of the letters.

READING FLUENCY - [Rate, Accuracy, and Prosody must be reported separately]	ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT	WCPM [Rate]	% CORRECT [Accuracy]	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE ¹	BELOW AVERAGE SS	AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
ACCURACY — [Reading words in text with no errors]	GORT-5			<69-71	<70		
RATE – [Words correct per minute]	GORT-5			<69-71	<70		
OBSERVED PROSODY: [Pitch, tone, volume, emphasis, & rhythm]	Discussed Below						
OTHER FLUENCY INDICATORS [specify]:							
Sight Word Efficiency	TOWRE-2			64-74	69		

This information comes from comments #5 and #6.

Phonemic Decoding	TOWRE-2		72-80	76	
Efficiency					

Fluency scores can be obtained through curriculum-based measures.

QUALITATIVE DATA – Information from classroom to include curriculum-based monitoring data (*e.g.*, *TPRI*, *Istation*, *etc.*); reading and spelling inventories; and independent writing samples.

Data from the TPRI for second grade indicated areas such as graphophonemic knowledge and word reading were still developing at the beginning of the year. Data from the Lexia intervention program indicated current level of performance for decoding mid kindergarten to beginning first grade after 64 sessions out of 84 sessions. Information from his current teacher indicated difficulty with oral reading. Jack does not read with expression, intonation or phrasing. He stumbles on reading multi-syllabic words or fails to come close to sounding out the full word. During the evaluation Jack was asked to read short passages from the GORT-5. He was not able to read words with accuracy, as he had to decode many the words in the passage. He guessed at many of the words as he tried to read the passages. For example, he read "father" as "Fred," "likes" as "lives," and "want" as "went."

*If using subtest scores rather than a composite score, what additional data exists to validate subtest scores?

The subtests from the TOWRE-2 provide additional information regarding the automaticity for word identification and word attacks skills. The standard scores from this measure provide additional data to validate subtests from the GORT-5 measuring accuracy and rate.

Based on professional judgment in reviewing student's qualitative and quantitative data, the evaluator has included assessment data in the following areas: **reading comprehension**, **mathematics**, and **written expression**. Measures used may be formal or informal.

(The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014: Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pgs. 20 – 22.)

SECONDARY CONSEQUENCES	ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT [If formal, what assessment instrument was utilized?]	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE ¹	BELOW AVERAGE SS	AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
READING COMPREHENSION Formal Informal	GORT-5	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	<69-71	<70		
MATHEMATICS ☐ Formal ☐ Informal	WJ-III	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	91-99		95	
WRITTEN EXPRESSION [Informal writing samples]	Classroom Samples Discussed Below	☐ Composite☐ Subtest				

QUALITATIVE DATA – If providing informal data only, information from classroom should include informal inventories, progress-monitoring data, and/or independent work samples.

The teacher interview indicated Jack is unable to understand the main idea, recall sequences, draw conclusions or make inferences from a passage. He is unable to read and complete math story problems. Jack's teacher provided benchmark writing samples from October through February. Jack does begin sentence with a capital letter and ends with punctuation. His handwriting is legible, but sometimes writes his letter too small to read the words. His writing samples contain many spelling errors. He is still confusing short vowels sounds such "em" for "am," "put" for "pet," "wit" for "went" and "wodemelem"

This information comes from comments #8 and #11.

This information comes from comments #12 and #13.

This information comes from comments #7, #9, #24, #25, and #26. for "watermelon." He spelled "my" as "mi" and "me" in several of the samples. He is still struggling remembering when to use the letter "b" and "d" as well as spelling words such as "and" as "nad." It is important to notice that from October to February he is not increasing the length of his stories and his handwriting begins to suffer. In fact, many words are hard to read. The writing sample from February is one long sentence and difficult to read for understanding. Information collected from Jack's teacher also confirms difficulty with written assignments. He exhibits difficulty with sentence construction and punctuation. He has difficulty with spelling and leaves words out in writing sentences.

*If using subtest scores rather than a composite score, what additional data validates subtest scores? The teacher interview indicated Jack comprehends information read to him. Jack demonstrates the ability to correctly answer questions after listening to a story. The subtest for math word problems on the WJ-III is a measure of applied reasoning. This subtest is read to the student.

This information comes from comments #23, #24, #25, #26, and #27.

This information comes from comment #14.

COGNITIVE PROCESSES UNDERLYING ACADEMIC WEAKNESSES – AREAS FOR ASSESSMENT:

Difficulties in **phonological** and **phonemic awareness** are typically seen in students with dyslexia. (*The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014: Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pg. 20.*)

DIFFICULTIES: UNDERLYING CAUSE	Assessment Instrument	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE ¹	BELOW AVERAGE SS	AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
PHONOLOGICAL AWARENESS	CTOPP-2	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	82-90	86		
RAPID NAMING	CTOPP-2	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	84-92	88		

If phonological awareness is within the average range, consider the following:

- If a composite score is reported, look at the individual subtests that may reflect specific skill deficits reported in the composite score.
- Has the student received intervention that may have normalized the score? If so, it is important to note
 that because previous effective instruction in phonological/phonemic awareness may remediate
 phonological skills in isolation, average phonological awareness scores alone do not rule out dyslexia.
 Ongoing phonological processing deficits can be exhibited in word reading and/or spelling. (The Dyslexia
 Handbook Revised 2014: Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pg. 22.)

Based on professional judgment in reviewing the student's qualitative and quantitative data, the evaluator has included the following assessments: phonological memory, orthographic processing, verbal working memory, and/or processing speed.

(The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014: Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pgs. 20 – 21.)

SECONDARY CONSEQUENCES	ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT [If formal, what assessment instrument was utilized?]	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE ¹	BELOW AVERAGE SS	AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
PHONOLOGICAL MEMORY Formal Informal	CTOPP-2	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	89-101		95	
ORTHOGRAPHIC PROCESSING Formal Informal	Discussed Below	☐ Composite☐ Subtest				
VERBAL WORKING MEMORY Formal	CTOPP-2 Elision	☐ Composite☐ Subtest		79		

☐ Informal					
PROCESSING SPEED ☐ Formal ☐ Informal	WJ-III	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	85-93	89	

QUALITATIVE DATA — Information from early reading screeners (e.g., TPRI, DIBELS, etc.), reading and spelling inventories, information from the teacher(s) and parent(s).

Data from previous TPRI screenings indicated Jack struggled with graphophonemic knowledge throughout kinder, first, and second grades. Information from the teacher interview indicated he misreads little words in text such as "were" for "where." He reversed letters when spelling such as "d" for "b." He confused letters with similar appearance such as "n" for "h." He spelled phonetically and violated rules of English spelling. He read at a slow rate.

*If using subtest scores rather than a composite score, what additional data validates subtest scores?

Additional data to support the subtest measuring verbal working memory comes from the information collected from the classroom teacher. She indicated Jack has difficulty expressing himself clearly and-fluently. He uses imprecise language such as references to "stuff" or "things." Jack is not able to come up with verbal responses quickly when questioned.

UNEXPECTEDNESS - AREAS FOR ASSESSMENT:

Based on the above information and *The Dyslexia Handbook* guidelines, should the committee (§504 or ARD) determine that the student exhibits weaknesses in <u>word reading and spelling</u>, the committee <u>must</u> then examine the student's data to determine whether these difficulties are <u>unexpected</u> in relation to the student's other abilities, sociocultural factors, language difference, irregular attendance, or lack of appropriate and effective instruction. "The student may exhibit strengths in areas such as reading comprehension, listening comprehension, math reasoning or verbal ability yet still have difficulty with reading and spelling. Therefore, <u>it is not one single indicator but a preponderance of data (both informal and formal) that provide the committee with evidence for whether these difficulties are unexpected."</u>

(The Dyslexia Handbook – Revised 2014: Procedures Concerning Dyslexia and Related Disorders, pg. 22.)

A. In the absence of print, is the student's listening comprehension (ability to comprehend what he or she is listening to) age and grade appropriate?

Area Evaluated	Assessment Instrument	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	OR ERROR OF AVERAGE		AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS
LISTENING	OWLS-II	☐ Composite	101-109		105	
COMPREHENSION		☐ Subtest				

LACK OF FOCUS AND/OR ATTENTION: Additional factors impacting **listening comprehension** may include background knowledge, vocabulary, syntax, semantics, and pragmatics. Teacher and parent observation may provide informal data to support these possible factors affecting score for listening comprehension.

QUALITATIVE DATA – Information from informal inventories, teacher(s), parent(s), and student. Information collected from Jack's teacher indicates the ability to understand the main idea of presented information. He also answers questions regarding who, what, when, where, why, and how of presented information. He sometimes needs to have information repeated for clarification. Jack does have difficulty following two- or three-step directions. He also has difficulty with figurative language and complex sentence structures.

This information comes from #4, #15, #16, #17 and #18.

This information comes from comment #27.

This information comes from comments #19 and #20.

☐ No

*If us	ing subtest scores r	rather than a composite	e score, what ad	ditional dat	ta validate	s subtest so	cores?	
	_	comprehension age and grade a	•	te?		☐ Yes☐ Yes	□ No	
	AREA EVALUATED	ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE ¹	BELOW AVERAGE SS	AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS	
READII COMPI	NG REHENSION	GORT-5	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	<69-71	<70			
Матн	REASONING	WJ-III Applied Problems	☐ Composite☐ Subtest☐	91-99		95		
D. Is	the student's verbal	expression age and grade	appropriate?			☐ Yes	□ No	
	AREA EVALUATED	ASSESSMENT INSTRUMENT	COMPOSITE OR SUBTEST*	STANDARD ERROR OF MEASURE ¹	BELOW AVERAGE SS	AVERAGE SS	ABOVE AVERAGE SS	
ORAL I	Expression	OWLS-II	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	92-100		96		
VOCAE KNOW	BULARY LEDGE	KABC-II Gc/Knowledge	☐ Composite☐ Subtest	101-115		108		This information comes from #27.
Information demo	mation collected from the collec	mation from informal in rom Jack's teacher regormulating correct serund and end a conversation rather than a composite	oorts some diff ntences with nou n as well as tell s	iculty with uns, verbs, a tories with	his oral oral oral oral oral oral oral oral	expression uns. Jack d ng, middle,	oes know and end.	This information
sumn	nary and conclusior	IFFICULTIES AND OTHER as narrative following the	nis section.				ed in the	comes from comment #29.
(The L	ATTENTION	vised 2014: Procedures Con Describe: During the e				•	_/	This information
	HANDWRITING	Describe: Jack's teach important to note that	er indicated diffic	ulty with org			ibility. It is	(comes from comment)
	FAMILY HISTORY OF READING DIFFICULTIES	Describe: Jack's moth			erger syndi	rome.		#30.
	BEHAVIOR ISSUES	Describe: Jack's moth	er indicated he m	ay cry due to	frustration	n with readir	ng. —	
	MOTIVATION	Describe:						This information comes from
	SPEECH ISSUES	Describe:						comments #21

OTHER:	Describe:
OTHER:	Describe:

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS NARRATIVE – [attach additional page(s) if necessary]:

The data collected indicates Jack's difficulty with reading, spelling, and written expression. The difficulties with phonological processing, rapid naming, verbal working memory, and processing speed appear to be contributing to the academic difficulties described by Jack's teacher as well as his mother. The data collected also indicates strengths with listening comprehension, oral expression, and math reasoning.

The evaluator will provide a brief summary of the assessment.

DYSI FXIA	EVALUATION	COMPLETED	By
DISLEMA	LVALUATION	COMPLETED	υī

Signature of Dyslexia Evaluator

¹ Standard Error of Measure - The standard error is the estimated standard deviation or measure of variability in the sampling distribution of a statistic. A low standard error means there is relatively less spread in the sampling distribution. The standard error indicates the likely accuracy of the sample mean as compared with the population mean. The standard error decreases as the sample size increases and approaches the size of the population.